

# ASX Announcement

18 March 2025

## Intrusion Related Copper Targets Identified at Conglomerate Creek

### MT ISA NORTH COPPER-URANIUM PROJECT

#### Key Highlights:

- Multiple drill targets identified using high-definition gravity and magnetic datasets
- 2km wide intrusive body, associated with significant structures and discrete gravity-magnetic anomalies identified
- Potential for large-scale Mt Isa style Iron Oxide Copper Gold (IOCG) or other intrusion-related mineralisation styles
- AM5 has appointed experienced geologist Matthew Porter as Exploration Manager

**Antares Metals Ltd (ASX: AM5)** (Antares, AM5 or the Company) is pleased to announce that it has identified multiple compelling drill targets on the Conglomerate Creek Copper Prospect, part of the Mt Isa North Copper-Uranium Project in northwest Queensland.

The high-definition gravity and magnetic surveys<sup>1</sup> completed over the Conglomerate Creek<sup>2</sup> Prospect and the subsequent data inversion, produced a 3D view of the area's subsurface magnetic and gravity characteristics. The inversion identified a large mineral system-scale igneous intrusion about 2km in diameter, which is associated with several large structures in the area. A secondary intrusion to the northeast was also identified from the inversion.

Several discrete gravity anomalies associated with the area's major structures and coincident discrete magnetic features have been identified as high-priority targets.

**These new Conglomerate Creek targets are obscured beneath sediments and have not been identified or tested by previous exploration. They represent significant discovery potential in a region with known intrusion-related copper-gold mineralisation potential.**

The Company is pleased to announce these highly encouraging targets to the market and looks forward to drilling and testing them as soon as possible after completing the second phase of reverse circulation (RC) drilling on the extensional targets identified at its Surprise copper project.

<sup>1</sup> ASX: 12 Dec 2024 - Geophysical work underway to identify large-scale copper targets at Conglomerate Creek

<sup>2</sup> ASX: 6 Feb 2025 - Geophysical surveys identify targets at Conglomerate Creek

**ANTARES**  
**METALS LIMITED**  
ASX : AM5

#### **DIRECTORS & MANAGEMENT**

**Mark Connelly**  
NE Chairman

**Johan Lambrechts**  
CEO

**Bruno Seneque**  
NE Director

**Richard Maddocks**  
NE Director

**Suzie Foreman**  
CFO & CoSec

#### **CONTACT**

Level 1, 43 Ventnor Ave,  
West Perth, WA, 6005  
info@antaresmetals.com.au  
antaresmetals.com.au

**Chief Executive Officer, Johan Lambrechts, commented:**

*"We have spent significant time and money on acquiring the geophysical data, inverting and interpreting it to identify these exciting drill targets beneath the surface. After a similar process at Surprise, we are also now poised to explore the non-outcropping, subsurface extensions of the mineralisation."*

*"Although maiden drill programs into untested, sub-surface targets can be daunting, we are excited by the potential of discovering something new. Intrusion-related copper-gold mineralisation systems have the potential to be large, and identifying one could be a significant milestone for the Company. We look forward to testing these targets after drilling Surprise and will keep our investors informed as we progress."*




Figure 1: 3D Oblique section of the intrusions identified at Conglomerate Creek

## Mineral System-Scale Intrusion Identified

Terra Resources, a Perth-based geophysical consultant, processed, inverted, and interpreted the magnetic and gravity data. The inversion produced a three-dimensional image representing the magnetic and gravity characteristics of the rocks beneath the surface.

Two discrete intrusions became evident, one of which is of mineral system scale and has a diameter of about 2km. The secondary intrusion is located to the northeast and is smaller, but it is still an intriguing target that will be investigated at a later stage.

The main intrusion is located at the convergence of several large structures. It is interpreted that this increases its mineralisation potential since the heat generated by the emplacement may activate the mobilisation and remobilisation of metals from their host rocks along the fluid pathways and into potential mineral traps along the structures. Sediment sample results from open-file data (QLD geological survey)<sup>3</sup> cover the eastern extent of the intrusion, with very limited data available for the remainder of the area (refer Figure 3).

Reviewing this data identified a copper anomaly greater than 100 ppm along the eastern edge of the intrusion. The elevated surface copper anomaly is interpreted to be associated with the area's major structures. This open file data supports the interpretation of the intrusion-related mineralisation system by showing increased copper animalism along the structures, as expected in such mineralised systems.

## Targets Identified

Interpreting the data highlighted several discrete gravity anomalies associated with major structures in the area and, additionally, with discrete magnetic features identified by the Company's high-definition magnetic survey.

Some targets also include linear magnetic low anomalies coinciding with the discrete gravity high features. This may indicate the demagnetisation of rocks by alteration caused by the hot fluids travelling through the structure. In these cases, a discrete magnetic high is visible near the gravity body, representing an enticing drill target.

Several targets have been identified from the geophysics program. All but one is also associated with the edge of the intrusion, where the intense heat of the intrusion in contact with the country rock, may mobilise mineralised fluids through local structures. The targets that are more central to the intrusion, are closely associated with major structures, and coincident gravity and magnetic anomalies. Figure 2 depicts the targets along with the geophysical data.

---

<sup>3</sup> NOTE: AM5 did not validate the assay data represented in the open file QLD geological survey database. It is expected that the data was validated by the original owners prior to inclusion into the open file database.




Figure 2: Map of the targets identified at the Conglomerate Creek Copper Project




Figure 3: Map of the targets identified on the Conglomerate Creek Copper Project with the open-file sediment sample data, (QLD Geological Survey)

## Experienced Exploration Manager Appointed

Antares is thrilled to announce the appointment of experienced Exploration Manager, Matthew Porter. Matt has over 25 years' experience, predominantly in Queensland including over five years with Sandfire Resources and three years targeting uranium with Paladin Energy. Matt has worked extensively in the Mt Isa region and knows the area well.

*“Matt joins us at a critical time for the Company, with the commencement of a very busy exploration season on our Mt Isa North Project. There is a lot of data for him to come to grips with, but he has already shown his commitment and work ethic, and I'm thrilled to have him leading our exploration efforts going forward.*

*“Matt has a detailed and extensive knowledge of the mineral systems in the Mt Isa Inlier and the area in general. He will be a key component of the Company's ability to deliver successfully on our objectives.”, Johan Lambrechts*

## Next Steps

The Company is very encouraged by the targets identified by the interpretation of the geophysical data collected on the Conglomerate Creek Prospect and aims to drill test these targets as soon as possible after completing the drilling of the targets identified on the Surprise Copper Prospect.

Approvals and work required to commence the second phase of drilling on Surprise is nearing completion. On ground and environmental clearances are planned as soon as possible. Once cultural heritage clearance is obtained, the Company will prepare access tracks and drill pads.

The Company will do site-specific geological reconnaissance over the three target areas to ensure the best possible drill targeting is done. Discussions with drilling contractors are advanced, and a final contract decision will be made imminently.

It is anticipated that drilling will commence in April and take about a week to complete.

The Company will reconnoitre the targets identified on Conglomerate Creek (at the surface) soon after the Surprise Phase 2 drilling is completed in preparation for the drilling of those targets.

The Company is funded to complete the work programs for the coming season and looks forward to keeping its shareholders updated on its activities.

-ENDS-

This announcement has been approved for release by the Board of Antares Metals Limited.

Enquiries:  
Johan Lambrechts  
Chief Executive Officer  
Antares Metals Limited  
E: [johan@antaresmetals.com.au](mailto:johan@antaresmetals.com.au)


## Competent Person Statement:

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Targets, Exploration Results, Mineral Resources, Historical Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves has been approved by Mr. Johan Lambrechts, a Competent Person who is a member of The Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and is the Chief Executive Officer of Antares Metals Limited.

Mr Lambrechts has sufficient experience that is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Lambrechts consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears. Mr. Lambrechts holds securities in the company.

## About Antares Metals

Antares Metals is a multi-commodity, Australian-focused explorer with two district-scale exploration hubs. The Company uses modern exploration methods and models to develop cost-effective exploration programs focused on discovery.



### Mt Isa North Cu-U Project

- ▶ 2,003km<sup>2</sup> of prime tenure at Mt Isa, adjoining Glencore's Mt Isa Operations
- ▶ Right geology for discovery of Cu, Zn-Ag-Pb, U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> and REE deposits
- ▶ Limited historical exploration
- ▶ Modern exploration model and methods to be employed

## Resource Citations

Glencore. (2023). *Annual Report - 2023*. Glencore.

Harmony . (2024). *Mineral resources and mineral reserves 30 June 2024*. Harmony Gold Ltd.

MMG. (2023). *MMG-Annual report 2023*. MMG.

## Appendix 1 - JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1

### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Sampling techniques</b>	<p><b>Nature and quality</b> of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</p> <p>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample <b>representivity</b> and the appropriate <b>calibration</b> of any <b>measurement tools</b> or systems used.</p> <p>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No sample results are reported in this announcement.</li> <li>The UAV Magnetic survey was done by Pegasus Airborne Systems, using a PAS_HE UAV at a 10Hz sample rate. The data acquisition was flown at 20m height with 50m spaced lines and 500m spaced tie-lines</li> <li>Atlas Geophysics completed the gravity survey using a Scintrex CG5 or CG6 gravity meter, with 200m spaced gravity stations.</li> <li>All geophysical data was inverted by Terra Resources to produce a 3D image of the interpreted data.</li> <li>Historical sediment geochemistry is presented in presented in this announcement. The location and copper results are presented in Appendix 2. The samples were collected by previous explorers. Details on the historical samples collected by previous explorers is available on the Queensland geological data portal or GeoResGlobe.</li> </ul>
<b>Drilling techniques</b>	<p>Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) And details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling is reported in this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill sample recovery</b>	<p>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</p> <p>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</p> <p>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling is reported in this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Logging</b>	<p>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</p> <p>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) Photography.</p> <p>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling is reported in this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques</b>	<p>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</p> <p>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. And whether sampled wet or dry.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No drilling is reported in this announcement.</li> </ul>



Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>and sample preparation</b>	<p>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</p> <p>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</p> <p>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</p> <p>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</p>	
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<p>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</p> <p>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</p> <p>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No Samples collected by AM5 are reported in this announcement.</li> <li>• The UAV Magnetic survey was done by Pegasus Airborne Systems, using a PAS_HE UAV at a 10Hz sample rate. The data acquisition was flown at 20m height with 50m spaced lines and 500m spaced tie-lines</li> <li>• Atlas Geophysics completed the gravity survey using a Scintrex CG5 or CG6 gravity meter, with 200m spaced gravity stations.</li> <li>• Data QAQC and analysis was completed by terra Resources.</li> <li>• The historical sediment geochemistry presented in this announcement was collected from open-file historical technical reports available from the QLD geological survey. Technical representatives of the historical explorers verify historical data at the time of submission.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<p>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</p> <p>The use of twinned holes.</p> <p>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</p> <p>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Verification of the historical soil geochemistry results was done by way of visualising the data and verifying its location within the AM5 tenement boundaries. AM5 did not verify assay data QAQC since technical experts verify it at the time of submission to the QLD geological survey.</li> <li>• No independent analysis of the historical results have been done at this stage of the project work.</li> <li>• No adjustments have been applied to the results.</li> </ul>
<b>Location of data points</b>	<p>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used.</p> <p>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No drilling is reported in this announcement.</li> <li>• Locations of the geophysical surveys are indicated on each diagram in the body of the announcement.</li> <li>• Location of soil sample data is available in Appendix 2.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing, and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The spacing of the historical soil geochemical data is as collected by the historical explorers at the time. The spacing is considered appropriate to indicate areas of potentially elevated copper values in the soil.</li> <li>No Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve estimations are being reported.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The geophysical surveys were conducted along and across strikes of the geology in the area, as per the recommendations of the geophysical consultancy that designed it. (Terra Resources)</li> <li>The soil geochemical data points represent the geological structures of the area.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No Samples collected by AM5 were reported in this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No audits have been conducted on the data.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The review discussed in this announcement pertains to EPM26987 and EPM27570, held by Capella Metals Ltd (pending transfer from Buchus Resources Ltd)[Capella Metals Ltd is a subsidiary of Antares Metals Limited], which are located less than 100km north of Mount Isa in QLD.</li> <li>There are no material encumbrances such as royalties or other agreements.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	A detailed review of specific historical exploration activities has not been completed. For this specific area.
<b>Geology</b>	Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The giant Mount Isa copper deposits are considered to be a variant of the globally significant group of sediment-hosted copper deposits. Besides large tonnages of copper, this group is also an important source of Co and Ag. Mount Isa Cu-Co breccia-hosted massive sulphide bodies are hosted by the Urquhart Shale of the Mount Isa Group. The Mount Isa Group and equivalent rock types, particularly dolomitic units, were reactive to Cu-bearing fluids and are highly prospective host rocks. Reduction of oxidised ore fluids is thought to be the key depositional mechanism and therefore, many</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		other rock types in the Mount Isa region are potentially host rocks as well including Fe <sup>2+</sup> rocks such as metabasalt and interflow sedimentary units (Wilde et al., 2006).
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<p>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</p> <p>easting and northing of the drill hole collar  elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar  dip and azimuth of the hole  down hole length and interception depth  hole length.</p> <p>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No Drill information is presented in this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<p>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</p> <p>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</p> <p>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No grade aggregation, weighting, or cut-off methods were used for this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</b>	<p>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</p> <p>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</p> <p>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., ‘down hole length, true width not known’).</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The mineralised units are near vertical, but no intercepts are reported in this announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Diagrams</b>	<p>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Diagrams relating to the announcement are located in the announcement.</li> </ul>
<b>Balanced reporting</b>	<p>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No results of samples collected by AM5 have been reported in this announcement.</li> <li>Historical soil geochemical data is presented in this announcement. All historical soil geochemical data known to AM5 has been presented in the announcement.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
<b>Other substantive exploration data</b>	Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.	• There is no other substantive exploration data to report.
<b>Further work</b>	The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.	• Plans for further work are outlined in the body of the announcement.

## Appendix 2: Historical Soil Sampling Results

Sample_ID	Latitude	Longitude	Cu (ppm)	Sample_ID	Latitude	Longitude	Cu (ppm)	Sample_ID	Latitude	Longitude	Cu (ppm)
1144877396	-20.3317	139.5934	85	1145274521	-20.3833	139.6020	60	1144877472	-20.3554	139.5931	190
1144881900	-20.3596	139.5983	70	1145274627	-20.3378	139.5602	55	1144877473	-20.3557	139.5929	165
1144881899	-20.3591	139.5985	80	1145274626	-20.3392	139.5623	45	1144877474	-20.3557	139.5935	150
1144881898	-20.3585	139.5963	145	1145274625	-20.3414	139.5632	85	1144877475	-20.3553	139.5940	180
1144881897	-20.3585	139.5969	135	1145274624	-20.3403	139.5664	65	1144877476	-20.3550	139.5946	170
1144881896	-20.3588	139.5974	145	1145274623	-20.3406	139.5734	65	1144877477	-20.3551	139.5953	140
1144881895	-20.3588	139.5982	110	1145274622	-20.3418	139.5737	75	1144877478	-20.3545	139.5954	150
1144881894	-20.3583	139.5988	85	1145274621	-20.3427	139.5625	70	1144877479	-20.3541	139.5970	140
1144881893	-20.3578	139.5992	70	1145274614	-20.3479	139.5607	80	1144877480	-20.3544	139.5968	140
1144881892	-20.3572	139.5952	135	1145274612	-20.3556	139.5643	80	1144877481	-20.3540	139.5975	230
1144881891	-20.3570	139.5951	105	1145274611	-20.3566	139.5643	70	1144877482	-20.3543	139.5979	160
1144884077	-20.3533	139.6060	120	1145274599	-20.3644	139.5629	105	1144877483	-20.3549	139.5979	180
1144884078	-20.3524	139.6065	80	1145274586	-20.3804	139.5636	95	1144877484	-20.3553	139.5987	150
1144884079	-20.3518	139.6071	85	1145274585	-20.3812	139.5644	100	1144877485	-20.3561	139.5990	70
1144884080	-20.3502	139.6082	95	1145274584	-20.3831	139.5635	55	1144877486	-20.3559	139.5994	100
1144884081	-20.3494	139.6084	45	1145274579	-20.3801	139.5723	80	1144877487	-20.3565	139.6000	70
1144884082	-20.3485	139.6086	45	1145274578	-20.3792	139.5800	35	1144877488	-20.3571	139.5994	110
1144884083	-20.3478	139.6096	45	1145274577	-20.3789	139.5810	75	1144877489	-20.3571	139.5984	110
1144884084	-20.3470	139.6105	40	1145274576	-20.3747	139.5843	110	1144877490	-20.3574	139.5981	100
1144884085	-20.3465	139.6110	50	1145274575	-20.3747	139.5809	90	1144877491	-20.3576	139.5974	125
1144884086	-20.3459	139.6117	60	1145274574	-20.3795	139.5731	55	1144877492	-20.3574	139.5966	100
1144884087	-20.3453	139.6121	60	1145274573	-20.3783	139.5717	75	1144877493	-20.3572	139.5960	90
1144884088	-20.3444	139.6128	45	1145274572	-20.3768	139.5714	90	1144877421	-20.3580	139.5903	60
1144884089	-20.3434	139.6133	40	1145274571	-20.3744	139.5725	75	1144877422	-20.3585	139.5904	90
1144884090	-20.3428	139.6133	35	1145274570	-20.3740	139.5705	90	1144877423	-20.3609	139.5939	120
1144884091	-20.3488	139.5914	55	1145274569	-20.3738	139.5736	75	1144877424	-20.3613	139.5937	125
1144884132	-20.3547	139.6032	125	1145274568	-20.3719	139.5740	80	1144877425	-20.3613	139.5933	110
1144884133	-20.3548	139.6022	100	1145274567	-20.3710	139.5723	80	1144877426	-20.3616	139.5932	120
1144884134	-20.3538	139.6016	100	1145274566	-20.3714	139.5756	70	1144877427	-20.3617	139.5926	125
1144884135	-20.3551	139.6018	85	1145274565	-20.3702	139.5743	105	1144877428	-20.3622	139.5927	135
1144884136	-20.3544	139.6006	105	1145274564	-20.3697	139.5725	120	1144877429	-20.3535	139.5962	105
1144884137	-20.3527	139.5995	110	1145274563	-20.3697	139.5752	60	1144877430	-20.3533	139.5957	95
1144884138	-20.3526	139.5998	200	1145274562	-20.3679	139.5758	65	1144877431	-20.3531	139.5953	45
1144884139	-20.3541	139.6049	80	1145274561	-20.3625	139.5725	75	1144877432	-20.3529	139.5954	110
1144884140	-20.3516	139.6068	80	1145274560	-20.3627	139.5707	95	1144877433	-20.3521	139.5952	120
1144884141	-20.3515	139.6053	70	1145274559	-20.3595	139.5690	95	1144877434	-20.3524	139.5949	170
1144884142	-20.3511	139.6042	80	1145274558	-20.3618	139.5855	100	1144877435	-20.3524	139.5941	210
1144884143	-20.3518	139.6054	135	1145274557	-20.3625	139.5843	10	1144877436	-20.3523	139.5929	260
1144884144	-20.3514	139.6055	80	1145274556	-20.3593	139.5822	75	1144877437	-20.3528	139.5928	220
1144884145	-20.3499	139.6031	70	1145274555	-20.3568	139.5849	60	1144877438	-20.3526	139.5926	190
1144884146	-20.3494	139.6013	85	1145274554	-20.3566	139.5826	60	1144877439	-20.3516	139.5948	110
1144884147	-20.3498	139.6014	70	1145274553	-20.3543	139.5801	75	1144877440	-20.3517	139.5944	170





Sample_ID	Latitude	Longitude	Cu (ppm)
1145274519	-20.3788	139.6023	80
1145274520	-20.3819	139.6001	30

Sample_ID	Latitude	Longitude	Cu (ppm)
1144877470	-20.3538	139.5912	165
1144877471	-20.3536	139.5906	190

Sample_ID	Latitude	Longitude	Cu (ppm)
1144882011	-20.3348	139.5825	120
1144882012	-20.3355	139.5826	130
1144882013	-20.3365	139.5821	95